

ONCE AGAIN AĀJIN

ERIC P. HAMP

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

I have given my reasons for approaching the analysis of *aāj* and *aājin* as I did in some detail a good many years ago.¹ The argument of that article and the conclusions reached seem to me to remain valid today. However, because my views on the development of IE **RH* in Armenian have changed in the meantime,² whereby I see the outcome for *R*=**r* as *aā*, the specifics of the reconstructed descent presented there for *aāj* and *aājin* must be corrected and modified.

Allowing for uncertainties or irrelevancies in internal chronology, the descent of these forms, comparable to Greek of the type *πίερα/πίων*, may be stated:

**prX^w-ur-iA* / *prX^w-uen-* (IE or IH)

1. Occam's razor and explanation in etymology, *Chicago Linguistic Society* 8, 1972, p.471.

2. See, for example, D. Kouymjian ed., *Études arméniennes in memoriam Haïg Berbérïan*, Lisbon: Gulbenkian 1986 p.293; the difference has been noticed by J. Clackson, *The linguistic relationship between Armenian and Greek*, Oxford: Publications of the Philological Society 1994, pp. 38-9.

<i>pṛ wr̥ yə</i>	/	<i>pṛ wen-</i>	(Brugmannian representation)
<i>pṛ^(s)γ^wrya</i>	/	<i>pṛ^(s)wen-</i>	(early post Helleno-Armenian)
<i>(φ)^oṛag^warya</i>	/	<i>(φ)^oṛawen-</i>	(earliest Armenian)
<i>aṛag^war-ya</i>	/	<i>aṛawen</i>	
<i>aṛa-g^war-ya</i>	/	<i>aṛa-g^win</i>	
<i>aṛa(r)g^wya</i>			(metathesis)
<i>aṛag^wya</i>			
<i>aṛag^wya</i>	/	<i>aṛag^wyin</i>	
<i>aṛaǰ</i>	/	<i>aṛaǰin</i>	

Thus, concealed under *ǰ*, is the equation with **prX^w-uo-*.